BCMB Honors Evaluation Rubrics Approved April 8, 2022

Step 4: Thesis Review

To be completed by the three members of the honors committee using the thesis rubric below. The total score must be \geq 80.

1. The author includes a clear and concise title for the proposed project (2 pts).

2. The author concisely introduces a research question(s) in the **Specific Aims** section of the proposal (300-word summary statement) (8 pts).

Poor (0 1 2)	Average (3 4 5)	Good/Excellent (6 7 8)
Summary Statement is missing completely or	Summary Statement is present and	Summary Statement is present and incorporates
missing one or more of the following:	incorporates an overview of the topic,	an overview of the topic, a summary of what is
overview of topic, summary of what is known,	summary of what is known, the gap in	known, the gap in knowledge we are trying to
gap in knowledge, or need for the work.	knowledge, and need for the work, but one or more element is incomplete or unclear.	fill, and the need for the work .
One or more <u>Specific Aims</u> are missing.	All <u>Specific Aims</u> are present, but the title, strategy, or outcome/impact for one or more may be incomplete or unclear.	Each of the Specific Aims are clearly introduced with a title, strategy, and outcome/impact.

3. The author identifies a hypothesis/question, contextualizes the hypothesis/question, supports it with evidence, and proposes an approach to address the hypothesis/question in the **Introduction** section of the assignment (750-1000 words) (**20 pts**).

Poor (0 1 2 3 4 5 6)	Average (7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14)	Good/Excellent (15 16 17 18 19 20)
The background information may not be appropriate and/or sufficient. The work relies heavily or exclusively on general, nonscholarly or irrelevant sources that do not support the hypothesis.	The background information supports the hypothesis at times, but sources are not always appropriate and/or sufficient.	The author uses appropriate and sufficient background information from relevant sources to support the hypothesis/question.
The work lacks a clear hypothesis/question. The author does not summarize the	The author attempts to identify a hypothesis/question, but the hypothesis/question is not a clear explanation for the phenomenon in question.	The author identifies a clear and concise hypothesis/question that is explanation for the phenomenon in question.
experimental approach.	The author summarizes elements of an approach, but the approach is incomplete.	The author summarizes the experimental approach.

4. The author provides enough information to understand the experiments that will be undertaken in the **Materials and Methods** section of the assignment and the experiments are feasible with available time/resources (500 words) (**15 pts**).

Poor (0 1 2 3 4 5)	Average (6 7 8 9 10)	Good/Excellent (11 12 13 14 15)
Key information is missing or incomplete.	All aspects of the experimental procedure	The author provides enough information so that
Another scientist would not be capable of	are addressed, but at times not in enough	another scientist could successfully reproduce the
reproducing the findings.	detail for another scientist to reproduce the	findings.
	findings.	
	At times, too much information is provided	The author cites other sources of methods when
	that is available from other citable sources.	available.
	Adjustments that do not interfere with the	
It is not likely that the methods can be	major goals of the project may be required	The methods can be completed with the
completed with the available time/resources.	to complete the methods.	available time and resources.

5. The author clearly states the preliminary results in the **Results** section of the assignment (up to 2000 words) (20 pts).

Poor (0 1 2 3 4 5 6)	Average (7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14)	Good/Excellent (15 16 17 18 19 20)
The author does not describe the results in	The author attempts to describe the results	The author thoroughly and accurately describes
writing.	in writing, but does not do so in a thorough	the results in writing, references the
	and/or accurate manner.	figures/tables where appropriate, and includes
		statistical analysis (if appropriate).
Figures/tables are missing altogether or	The author provides figures/tables but may	The author provides figures/tables with complete
contain very little data and/or inaccurate data.	be missing some data and/or include some	and accurate data.
	inaccurate data.	
Figures/table legends are missing.		
	The author provides figure/table legends	The author provides figure/table legends that
	that are incomplete.	provide a) a title, b) concise
		information about what was done and c) the key
		finding.
No preliminary data exists, so it is not clear if		
the student can complete the proposed aims.	Preliminary data is present for some, but	Preliminary data is sufficient to indicate technical
	not all the project aims. It is likely that at	competency and ability to complete the aims of
	least some aspect of the project can be	the proposal in a timely manner.
	completed in a timely manner.	

6. The author conforms to appropriate standards for language usage throughout the assignment (10 pts).

Poor (0 1 2 3)	Average (4 5 6 7)	Good/Excellent (8 9 10)
Frequent problems with grammar and	Overall, language use is mainly correct and	Language use is uniformly correct and effective.
mechanics detract from meaning.	effective.	
		The author crafts clear and concise sentences
Vocabulary or phrasing is frequently unclear or misleading. The reader may have doubts	At times, the author crafts sentences that are wordy, but that do not interfere with a	that communicate the author's ideas precisely.
about the author's control of vocabulary,	reader's understanding of the text.	Vocabulary is sophisticated and specialized , and
sentence structure, grammar and mechanics,		the author demonstrates command over that
etc.	Word choices are mainly effective. In places,	vocabulary.
	the author's command of language use or	
	language choices may falter.	

7. The author conforms to appropriate formats for citation of source material throughout the assignment (5 pts).

Poor (0 1)	Average (2 3)	Good/Excellent (4 5)
Citations may be absent, incomplete or	The author uses the appropriate citation	The author uses the appropriate citation style,
inaccurate.	style, and, for the most part, the citations	and the citation style is thorough and correct,
	conform to that citation style. Source	both within the text and in the citation list or
	citations may be inconsistent or incomplete,	bibliography.
	but they are enough to locate the source	
	and avoid an accusation of plagiarism.	

Total: 80 pts; Student must achieve ≥ 64 points to continue